

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,442	03/04/2002	Hideaki Hirai	R2184.0137/P137	4020
24998	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			HINDI, NABIL Z	
	101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2655	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/086,442	HIRAI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
		NABIL Z HINDI	2655	
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIZE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIZE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIZE OF THE COMMUNICATION STATE OF THE COMMUNICATION SIZE OF THE COMMUNICATION S	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1</u>	2 April 2005.		
2a)⊠ `	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ ⁻	This action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	,	• •	
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-82</u> is/are pending in the a ca) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,8 and 14-81</u> is/s Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,7 and 9-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>82</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	are withdrawn from consider	ation.	
Application	on Papers			
	he specification is objected to by the Exan			
	the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ,	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the coluding the column in declaration is objected to by the).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the priority documed Copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed Copies	nents have been received. The sents have been received in a periority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/086,442

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's amendment dated April 12, 2005. The following action is taken:

Page 2

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not disclose the use of a "non-polarization type beam light path splitting part" as now claimed in claim 1. The embodiment of the claimed invention is directed to fig 3 as stated in response to the restriction requirement. It is noted that the dichroic element is the same as the non-polarization element. However the fig nor the specification does not show the use of both within the same embodiment.

Claims 11-13 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action repeated herein for applicant's convenience.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,442

Art Unit: 2655

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ju et al (5974020).

The reference shows an optical disk reading apparatus comprising a first and second light sources 51, 52, first and second photo detection elements 563, 564, a polarizing light beam splitter 56 for splitting the light beam emitted from the first light source, a non-polarizing beam splitter (dichroic prism 55) for splitting the light beam emitted from the second light source, a ¼ wave plate 57, a first and second light combining prism 59 and a light converging element (objective lens) 560.

With respect to the limitations of claims 12 and 13. The reference shows the use of a ¼ wave plate which the same structural element disclosed in the invention.

Claim 82 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited prior art shows or teaches an optical head having the structural elements as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-13 and 82 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's submission of the requirements for the joint research agreement prior art exclusion under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) on April 12, 2005 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection under 37 CFR 1.109(b) presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS

Application/Control Number: 10/086,442

Art Unit: 2655

ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(3). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at telephone number (571) 272-7618.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2540

X658

Page 4